



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,538	01/27/2004	Kazunari Oyama	02910.000110.	9614
5514	7590 10/13/2005		EXAM	INER
	CK CELLA HARPER	CHANG, KENT WU		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2675	. "

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,538	OYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kent Chang	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	0. January 2005					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters prosecution as to the merits	ie			
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •	.0			
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	<ul> <li>1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the p</li> </ol>	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/13/05</u> .	6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/10/05 with respect to rejection of claims 1-9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-9 has been withdrawn. However, a rejection based on newly found references is presented below.

## **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Information Disclosure Statement

3. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted 1/10/05 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites applying a maximum voltage to drive the FED, then applying a smaller voltage for driving the FED. Such a limitation cannot confer patentability since it merely depends on how the user operates the FED, e.g., whether the user operates the display device with a driving voltage lower than the driving voltage ever applied in the past, or lower than the upper limit of the driving voltage that was used to test the device during manufacturing process. It seems that infringement would exist if a user just

Application/Control Number: 10/764,538 Page 3

Art Unit: 2675

simply operate the FED with a driving voltage within the operating range since any driving voltage level within the operating range would be smaller than the maximum voltage (upper limit in the operating range).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kitamura et al (US2002/0031972).

Kitamura teaches a method of driving and the manufacturing method of an electron-emitting devices formed on a substrate, in each of which an electron-emitting member including a plurality of carbon fibers is capable of emitting electrons when a driving voltage is applied between a cathode electrode on which the electron-emitting member is formed and a counter electrode disposed in opposition to the cathode electrode, comprising the steps of: applying a voltage Vmax higher than the driving voltage to a first electron-emitting device to cause an I-V characteristic of the first electron-emitting device and an I-V characteristic of a second electron-emitting device to become closer to each other, the first electron-emitting device being operative to emit a relatively larger number of electrons among the plurality of electron-emitting device being operative to emit a relatively smaller number of electrons among the plurality of

electron-emitting devices when the predetermined voltage is applied; and applying, according to input data, a driving voltage V smaller than the maximum applied voltage Vmax between the cathode electrode and the counter electrode to drive the plurality of electron-emitting devices (the equalizing process, see Paragraph 0057-0059, note that after the equalizing process, the device is driven with normal driving voltage).

As to claims 2, 4, 6, 8, the electron-emitting device of Kitamura includes a plurality of carbon fibers selected from among a plurality of carbon nanotubes, a plurality of graphite nanofibers and a mixed plurality of carbon nanotubes and graphite nanofibers (Paragraph 0041).

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dean et al (US Patent No. 6,645,028).

Dean teaches a method of driving and the manufacturing method of an electronemitting devices formed on a substrate, in each of which an electron-emitting member including a plurality of carbon fibers is capable of emitting electrons when a driving voltage is applied between a cathode electrode on which the electron-emitting member Application/Control Number: 10/764,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 2675

is formed and a counter electrode disposed in opposition to the cathode electrode, comprising the steps of: applying a voltage Vmax higher than the driving voltage to a first electron-emitting device to cause an I-V characteristic of the first electron-emitting device and an I-V characteristic of a second electron-emitting device to become closer to each other, the first electron-emitting device being operative to emit a relatively larger number of electrons among the plurality of electron-emitting devices when a predetermined voltage is applied, the second electron-emitting device being operative to emit a relatively smaller number of electrons among the plurality of electron-emitting devices when the predetermined voltage is applied; and applying, according to input data, a driving voltage V smaller than the maximum applied voltage Vmax between the cathode electrode and the counter electrode to drive the plurality of electron-emitting devices (see the waveform 180 in Fig.1 and its corresponding description, note that the voltage being applied in time period t3-t4 is higher than the driving voltage being applied in time period t5-t6).

As to claims 2, 4, 6, 8, the electron-emitting device of Dean includes a plurality of carbon fibers selected from among a plurality of carbon nanotubes, a plurality of graphite nanofibers and a mixed plurality of carbon nanotubes and graphite nanofibers (see column 2 lines 15-22).

#### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamaguchi et al (US Patent No. 6,184,851); Lee (US Patent No. US2002/0175618); Aoki et al (US Patent No. 6,712,660).

#### **CONTACT INFORMATION**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kent Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-7667. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz, can be reached at 571-272-3638.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

## or faxed to:

#### 571-273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2675

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kent Chang

Primary Examiner

Kercz

Page 7

Art Unit 2675

kc

10/8/05